Adherence of Nurse-Driven Protocols Effect on Incidences of Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia During the Mechanical Ventilation Period

Jasman Sandhu, Abigail Schlossberg, Tania Tait

University of Maryland School of Nursing, Baltimore, MD

Introduction

Problem Statement:

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) remains a common and preventable complication in mechanically ventilated ICU patients, leading to poor outcomes and extended hospital stays.

What is VAP?

VAP is a lung infection that occurs in patients who have been on mechanical ventilation for more than 48 hours. VAP affects 10–20% of ventilated ICU patients, with mortality rates ranging from 20–50%. It increases healthcare costs by up to $40,000 per case and is often complicated by multidrug-resistant organisms.

What is Known (PICOT) and What is Not Known (Significance):

While nurse-driven prevention bundles (e.g., oral care, head-of-bed elevation, sedation breaks) are known to reduce VAP rates, consistent implementation and measurable outcomes across settings remain unclear. The PICOT guiding this project is: *In adult ICU patients on mechanical ventilation (P), how does the implementation of a nurse-driven VAP prevention bundle (I), compared to standard care (C), affect the incidence of VAP (O) during hospitalization (T)?*

Purpose of this EBP:

The purpose of this evidence-based project is to evaluate the effectiveness of nurse-led VAP prevention protocols in reducing the incidence of VAP in adult ICU patients.

Practice Question

In adult patients on mechanical ventilation in the ICU, how does nurse-driven protocols adherence (such as oral care, head of bed elevation, and daily sedation vacations) impact the incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) during the mechanical ventilation period of around 1-2 weeks?

Search Strategy

Public database: NIH and PubMed

MeSH: “critical care”, “clean technique”, “intensive care”, and “decreased ICU stay”

Inclusion/Exclusion criteria: within 5 years, adult patients, VAP

Level and Quality of Included Evidence

WHAT: VAP prevention bundle (head-of-bed elevation, oral care with chlorhexidine, daily sedation vacation, peptic ulcer and DVT prophylaxis, humidification, drainage of ventilator condensate)

WHERE: ICU in an hospital setting

WHEN: During ICU stay while on mechanical ventilation

WHO: ICU nurses responsible for implementing the protocols

WHY: To reduce VAP incidence and improve patient outcomes

HOW: Implementation of standardized nursing protocols, staff education, adherence, and guideline implementation

Article #	1	2	3	4	5	6
JHNEBP Level & Quality	3B	3A	3B	3B	5A	5A

Recommendations for Translation into Practice

Several key strategies are recommended to effectively translate evidence-based practices into clinical care to improve ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) prevention. First, a structured education plan should be developed to ensure all ICU nurses are competent in the VAP prevention bundles. This education should incorporate simulations and hands-on demonstrations to improve skills and reinforce positive practice (Paliwal et al., 2023). In addition to initial training, annual refresher courses should be implemented to sustain nurse and provider competency and keep VAP prevention at the forefront of clinical care (Debas et al., 2024). To support ongoing adherence, built-in electronic medical record (EMR) alerts can notify staff when critical components—such as documenting oral care every three to four hours—are missed or delayed (Ngxabi & Hardcastle, 2024). Interdisciplinary collaboration is also essential; respiratory therapists and infection control specialists should be actively involved in protocol development and implementation to maintain consistency and ensure holistic patient care (Pozuelo-Carrascosa et al., 2020). Lastly, strong leadership endorsement is crucial. Charge nurses should promote VAP protocols, routinely mentor bedside staff, and model best practices to reinforce the importance of these interventions (Zhao et al., 2020).

Synthesis of Findings

Similarities:

Introduction/Literature Background:

All studies support nurse-driven VAP bundles as effective.

Method:

Most used observational studies, RCTs, or reviews in ICU settings, focusing on bundled interventions.

Results/Findings:

All reviewed literature demonstrated that these protocols significantly lowered VAP incidence. For instance, SSD contributed to shorter ICU stays and reduced ventilator durations, while chlorhexidine-based oral care lowered infection rates and improved patient recovery outcomes (Pozuelo-Carrascosa et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020). Moreover, most studies emphasized that staff education and ongoing training improved compliance and effectiveness of VAP bundles.

Differences

Introduction/Literature Background:

Some studies highlight implementation barriers in low-resource settings

Method:

Methods varied by setting, high-income vs. resource-limited hospitals with differences in staff support and protocol use.

Results/Findings:

Institutions with structured quality improvement programs and global protocol benchmarking achieved higher compliance and lower VAP rates (Ngxabi & Hardcastle, 2024). In contrast, studies in settings without these supports reported lower adherence and less consistent outcomes, despite using similar interventions. This indicates that the context and system-level supports play a significant role in the success of VAP prevention strategies.

(Debas et al., 2024)

References

1. Buterakos, R., Jenkins, P. M., Cranford, J., Haake, R. S., Maxson, M., Moon, J., Rice, B., & Sachwani-Daswani, G. R. (2022). An in-depth look at ventilator-associated pneumonia in trauma patients and efforts to increase bundle compliance, education and documentation in a surgical trauma critical care unit. American Journal of Infection Control, 50(12), 1333–1338. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2022.01.029
2. Debas, S. A., Zeleke, M. E., Mersha, A. T., Melesse, D. Y., Admassie, B. M., Workie, M. M., Chekol, W. B., & Admass, B. A. (2024). Evaluation of ventilator-associated pneumonia care practice in the intensive care units of a comprehensive specialized hospital in Northwest Ethiopia: a 1.5-year prospective observational study. *BMC Anesthesiology*, *24*(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-024-02753-w
3. Ngxabi, B., & Hardcastle, T. C. (2024). Ventilator-associated pneumonia rates in a level I trauma intensive care unit in KwaZulu-Natal Province, South Africa, compared with international benchmarks. *Southern African Journal of Critical Care*, *40*(3), 118–122. https://doi.org/10.7196/SAJCC.2024.v40i3.1967
4. Paliwal, N., Bihani, P., Mohammed, S., Rao, S., Jaju, R., & Janweja, S. (2023). Assessment of Knowledge, Barrier in Implementation, and Compliance to Ventilator Bundle among Resident Doctors and Nurses Working in Intensive Care Units of a Tertiary Care Center of Western India: A Cross-sectional Survey. Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine, 27(4), 270–276. https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10071-24434
5. Pozuelo-Carrascosa, D. P., Herráiz-Adillo, Á., Alvarez-Bueno, C., Añón, J. M., Martínez-Vizcaíno, V., & Cavero-Redondo, I. (2020). Subglottic secretion drainage for preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia: an overview of systematic reviews and an updated meta-analysis. *European respiratory review : an official journal of the European Respiratory Society*, *29*(155), 190107. https://doi.org/10.1183/16000617.0107-2019
6. Zhao, T., Wu, X., Zhang, Q., Li, C., Worthington, H. V., & Hua, F. (2020). Oral hygiene care for critically ill patients to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews, 12(12), CD008367. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008367.pub4