The Impact of Non-Invasive, Patient-Centered Diagnostic Tools vs. Invasive Methods on the Time of Diagnosis of Suspected Endometriosis

Kyah Morris, MSN, CNL student
University of Maryland School of Nursing

UNIVERSITY of MARYLAND
SCHOOL OF NURSING

Background & Significance

Problem: Endometriosis is a complex, underdiagnosed condition that takes an average of 6 to 8 years to diagnose, significantly affecting patients' physical, emotional, and reproductive health. The current gold standard for diagnosis is laparoscopy, which presents substantial barriers, including:

- Long wait times for surgical referrals
- Invasiveness of the procedure
- High costs and limited accessibility

Significance: Many patients experience years of untreated symptoms, which can lead to:

- Worsening pain, disease progression, and reduced fertility outcomes
- Increased psychological distress & diminished quality of life
- Greater healthcare utilization and financial burden

Methods

Study Design: A narrative synthesis and comparative review of recent studies (2022–2024) examining the effectiveness of non-invasive, patient-centered diagnostic tools for endometriosis. The focus was on the tools' impact on time to diagnosis, usability, and integration potential in clinical workflows.
Population: Women of reproductive age presenting with symptoms suggestive of endometriosis (e.g., pelvic pain, dysmenorrhea, infertility).
Interventions: (non-invasive tools)

- Digital symptom-tracking apps (e.g., Endometriosis Risk Advisor)
- Validated patient questionnaires
- Machine learning models using self-reported health data

Evidence Summary

Knowledge gaps: Symptoms are often minimized or dismissed, especially in younger patients. Also, some providers lack training or awareness in Endometriosis.
Health system barriers: Access to specialists can be limited by socioeconomic status or healthcare system inefficiencies. Long referral pathways delay diagnosis and treatment.
Non-specific symptoms: Symptoms (e.g., pelvic pain, fatigue, heavy periods) overlap with other conditions, and patients are often misdiagnosed or told symptoms are "normal" or stress-related.
Early Screening tools: These tools emphasize the value of patient-reported symptoms and offer practical pathways for triage and tool refinement, supporting earlier intervention and improved patient outcomes.
Patient outcomes: The tools improve patient outcomes by enabling earlier identification and intervention for endometriosis.
Diagnostic time: Earlier diagnosis can lead to timely pain management, better fertility outcomes, and reduced psychological distress.
Health equity: These tools can decrease the number of unnecessary treatments and misdiagnoses while empowering patients through greater involvement in their care.

Evidence Review Results

Author (Year)	Results	Level of Evidence	Quality Rating
Balogh (2024)	The machine learning using self-reported app data may reduce diagnostic delays; large, ongoing trial with 10,000 participants.	III	B
Chapron (2022)	The validated patient questionnaire reduced time to diagnosis; prospective design with internal/external validation.	IV	A
Nezhat (2023)	The endometriosis Risk Advisor app showed high sensitivity (93.1%) for screening; low specificity (5.9%).	III	B
Brandes (2022)	They identified a cut-off to distinguish early vs. delayed diagnoses using questionnaire data; reinforced value of patient input.	IV	A
Mitchell (2024)	The International survey revealed most impactful endometriosis symptoms; useful for symptom prioritization and tool refinement.	III	B

Implications for Nursing Practice and the CNL

Interdisciplinary Collaboration: Facilitate communication among providers to incorporate early screening tools alongside traditional methods.
Patient Advocacy: Educate patients on symptom tracking and encourage open communication about symptoms.
Quality Improvement: Lead initiatives to improve the accuracy of data collection and implementation of evidence-based diagnostic practices.
Equity in Care: Ensure tools are validated and applied across diverse populations to address disparities in diagnosis.

Summary & Conclusion

Non-invasive, patient-centered diagnostic tools show strong potential to reduce delays in diagnosing endometriosis. They offer an accessible, scalable, and cost-effective way to screen high-risk individuals before resorting to invasive procedures. Although current tools are not diagnostic replacements for laparoscopy, they are promising adjuncts that can improve clinical workflows and patient outcomes. Limitations such as variability in self-reported data and limited demographic validation must be addressed through further research. Integration into clinical practice should be guided by standardized protocols and ongoing evaluation.

References